



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,260	08/20/2003	David Hooper	06769.P001	7336

7590 01/22/2008
James C. Scheller
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Seventh Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025

EXAMINER

TRAN, TUYETLIEN T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2179

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/645,260

Applicant(s)

HOOPER ET AL.

Examiner

TuyetLien (Lien) T. Tran

Art Unit

2179

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TuyetLien (Lien) T. Tran. (3) _____

(2) Marc Berger. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 63 and 82.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rothmuller et al (US 2003/0033296 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant and the examiner discussed the amended language of a year, month and date view displaying in a form of cell, and indicating the number of assets in the result set that have associated date&time within the year, month, date respectively; however, no agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

Note: This facsimile contains PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL information intended only for use of the specific individual or entity named below. If you or your employer is not the intended recipient of this facsimile or an employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized dissemination or copying of this facsimile or the information contained in it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify the person named below at once by telephone and return the original facsimile to us at the address below. Thank you.

SENDER'S NAME: Marc Berger
P.O. Box 2085
Rehovot 76120
ISRAEL

TRANSMISSION DATE: January 14, 2008

PLEASE DELIVER TO:

Recipient: Examiner Tuyetlien Tran, AU 2179
Firm: United States Patent & Trademark Office
Fax No.: 571-270-2033
City/State/Country: Alexandria, VA USA

COMMENTS:

Interview agenda for US Serial No. 10/645,260

WE ARE TRANSMITTING 3 PAGE(S) (including this cover letter) from:

FAX NO. 011-972-8-9315208

If you do not receive all of the pages or if any pages received are illegible, please contact our office.

P. O. Box 2085
Rehovot 76120 ISRAEL

Marc A. Berger
mberger@bezeqint.net

Tel. 011-972-8-9315207
Fax. 011-972-8-9315208

January 14, 2008

BY FACSIMILE

Examiner Tuyetlien Tran
United States Patent & Trademark Office
Alexandria, VA
USA

Dear Examiner Tran,

RE: Interview agenda for US Ser. No. 10/645,260
METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CALENDAR-BASED
IMAGE ASSET ORGANIZATION
Filed on August 20, 2003
in the name of ACD Systems, Inc.

This letter regards a telephone interview which I would like to schedule with you for a morning, Mon. - Thurs., at your convenience, to discuss the amendment that was filed for the above referenced patent application on Nov. 28, 2007.

For the interview, I would like to focus on pending independent claims 63 and 82. These claims include the limitations of year, month and day views for displaying numbers of assets, as follows:

- a. a year view displaying one year per cell, and indicating the number of assets in the result set that have associated dates & times within each year;
- b. a month view displaying one month per cell, and indicating the number of assets in the result set that have associated dates & times within each month, for each of the displayed months;
- c. a day view displaying one day per cell, and indicating the number of assets in the result set that have associated dates & times within each day;


Interview Agenda for US Serial No. 10/654,260

January 14, 2008

and the limitation of a mechanism for displaying representations of assets wherein:

1. selecting a cell for a specific year within the year view serves to display representations of the assets in the result set that have associated dates & times within the specific year;
2. selecting a cell for a specific month within the month view serves to display representations of the assets in the result set that have associated dates & times within the specific month; and
3. selecting a cell for a specific day within the day view serves to display representations of the assets in the result set that have dates & times within the specific day.

The following diagram summarizes the inter-dependencies between the year view, the month view and the date view.



I believe that the inter-dependent year, month and date views of the subject claims are different than the views disclosed in the cited prior art of Rothmuller, Graham, Fototime and Baskins.

This is what I would like to discuss during our telephone interview.

I appreciate your courtesy of granting the interview, and I look forward to speaking with you.

Sincerely yours,

Marc A. Berger
 Marc A. Berger
 U.S. Reg. No. 44,029